	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/697,331	LIESS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thuy V. Tran	2821
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Per</u>	nding
(1) <u>Thuy V. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kenneth D. Labudda</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 May 2005	Time: <u>2:30 PM ET</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ınt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 11 and 14		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
Muzhan		
THUYYTRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A teleconference was held to discuss about the corrections to be made to claims 11 and 14 to correct the typographical error therein and to more clearly define the claim invention, resulting in an authorized Examiner's Amendment including:

Claim 11, line 20, replace "to" with -- series with--;

Claim 14, line 15, insert -- and -- between "(Lres1)" and "the"; and

Claim 14, line 21, replace "to" with --in series with--.